Inequality remedies

Lane Kenworthy

October 28, 2011

Growth of p10-p50 income, 1979-2005



Two components of rising income inequality

- 1. Soaring incomes at the top
- 2. Slow income growth in the bottom half

- I favor doing something about #1
- But I think our main concern should be #2

Slow income growth in the bottom half

What can be done?

It would help to know why some countries have done better than others in recent decades

Slow income growth in the bottom half

I'll focus here on households between p10 and p50

In a recent book I look at the bottom 10%



Why have p10-p50 incomes risen more in some countries than in others?

Growth of p10-p50 income, 1979-2005



The variation across the countries owes partly to differences in the amount of economic growth

But if we exclude Ireland and Norway (special cases), about twothirds of the variation stems from *the degree to which economic growth reaches lower-middle households*

1. Ireland



2. Norway



3. Netherlands



4. Belgium



5. United Kingdom



6. Sweden



7. Spain



8. Finland



9. Denmark



10. France



11. Austria



12. Italy



13. United States



14. Canada



15. Germany



16. Switzerland



17. Australia



What accounts for the cross-country variation in the degree to which economic growth boosts lower-middle household incomes?

To get rising household incomes, we need increases in Earnings and/or Net government transfers (transfers minus taxes)

For 12 of these 17 countries, it's possible to separate earnings from net government transfers

I also separate p10-p25 households from p25-p50 households

The keys to success have been

p10-p25 households: government transfers p25-p50 households: earnings



2. Norway



3. Netherlands



5. United Kingdom



6. Sweden





9. Denmark



13. United States





15. Germany



16. Switzerland



17. Australia



The keys to success have been

p10-p25 households: government transfers p25-p50 households: earnings

What can be done?

Rising net government transfers have been the key to success, except for a few special cases (Ire, Nor, Nth)

How does a country get rising transfers?

Periodic increases in benefit levels or reductions in taxes and/or

Programs in which the benefit level is indexed to average wages

and/or

Programs in which the benefit is a replacement rate coupled with rising real wages in the bottom half Rising household earnings have been the key to success

How does a country get rising earnings?

Rising employment

and/or

Rising wages

It's difficult to tell what's worked in recent decades, because we don't have good comparative data on trends in

- Real wage levels for persons in below-median-income households
- Employment hours in below-median-income households

P25 to P50: wages or employment?

I know the story for two countries

Netherlands: success Employment increased a lot Wages were flat

United States: failure, except in the late 1990s Employment increased a little Wages were flat, except in the late 1990s

P25 to P50: wages or employment?



Many things now push against wage growth

Competition

Computerization

Short-term profit pressure from shareholders

Shift from manufacturing to services

Greater use of "pay for performance"

Absence of tight labor markets

Rise in less-skilled immigration

Unions are key to securing wage growth in the face of these pressures

But unionization has been declining virtually everywhere

P25 to P50: wages or employment?



Unionization rates, 1979 and 2007

I'm very pessimistic about the likelihood of rising wages

1. Employment

There's a lot of room left for increase, especially in countries such as Italy



- 2. Public goods and services
 - Early education
 - Health care
 - Infrastructure
 - Transportation
 - Public spaces
 - Etc.

3. Statutory minimum wage

Indexed to prices

and/or

Updated each year by an independent commission

4. Employment-conditional earnings subsidy



4. Employment-conditional earnings subsidy

Expanded to reach the lower-middle class

Indexed to average wages

Think of this as insurance against a new social risk in the age of inequality: stagnant incomes